

**DISCIPLINE and ACTION:
IMMEDIATELY
NECESSARY
FOR
NATIONAL
SURVIVAL**

————●————
By **GEORGE B. FOWLER**

————●————
With Forward By **MYRON C. FAGAN**
————●————

No. 94

FOREWORD

For exactly a half-century this nation has been living in the grip of a bankrupt philosophy. A "*philosophy*" which has kept our nation in constant chaos and tumultuous confusion. It was Woodrow Wilson who launched this "*philosophy*" when he deceived and deluded Congress into enacting the "*Federal Reserve Act*," which delivered our money system into the hands of the Internationalist Bankers Cabal. He then engineered the Sixteenth (*Income Tax*) Amendment, which intensified the "*philosophy*" — and which transformed us into a nation of liars and cheats. Then came other Acts, *seemingly* not related, yet definitely related. One such was the Eighteenth Amendment (*Prohibition*), which went far to bankrupt our morale and our morals — and which incubated the organized Crime (Capone Syndicate, etc.) which today has its tentacles in every facet of our society.

Wilson launched it. Roosevelt pursued it. Ditto Truman. Ditto Eisenhower. Ditto Kennedy. This "*bankrupt philosophy*" has been the policy of every Administration since Wilson. Not only domestically, but in all of our Foreign affairs. For example, the policy of "*limited war*," as pursued by our government since 1945, has denied us the most important factor in war — *the initiative*. It denies us as well the choice of area, weapons and tactics. Under that policy, we must fight not only where the Communists choose, but in the places they prefer, and with *their weapons* in *their kind of war*, thus denying our forces the overwhelming advantage of American military technology — as was the case in Korea — as is the case in Laos and Viet Nam today. And through it all we must pursue no objectives save the *status quo*!

That is the "*philosophy*" decreed for us by the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy — fastened upon us by their stooges in Washington — and which we have been brain-

washed into accepting by all of our (*captive*) Mass Communications Media.

True, we have some stalwarts in the various mediums who are loyal, who would like to fully alert the people. The best known and most courageous of these are Westbrook Pegler, Henry J. Taylor, Holmes Alexander, Fulton Lewis, Jr., etc. But when they probe too deeply, they are promptly muzzled, as was Lewis in the Anna Rosenberg case — or fired, as was Westbrook Pegler.

Occasionally, some civic leader, such as a head of a Chamber of Commerce, or of an Industrial group, voices his outrage, but usually they limit themselves to the aspects that directly affect their particular domains — they seldom probe into the "*philosophy*" that is the real cause of the ills and the evils they decry. But recently my attention was called to a speech delivered by Industrialist George B. Fowler at a summer camp for boys and girls called "*The Rock*", at Goshen, Mass., in which he delved with amazing clarity into the mysteries of our "*bankrupt philosophy*." It may seem strange that he chose that kind of an (Youth) audience for such a deep dissertation, yet, on careful consideration, that IS the audience that should be alerted — because it is the Youth of America that is the prey of the ENEMY — that is being brainwashed for enslavement in a Communist One-World.

Mr. Fowler needs no introduction to our readers. With his consent, we are proudly devoting this issue to his above-mentioned speech. Every American concerned with the safety and security of our nation should deeply ponder his words.

Myron C. Fagan



INTRODUCTION

By GEORGE B. FOWLER



When Mr. Williams was at our office he suggested that he would like to have me address your group and I assume this was prompted by his belief that (*based upon some things I may have said*) it would constitute an interesting discussion.

Economics, free enterprise, capitalism, employment, wages, prices, production, distribution, etc., are all right and they are important — but the overwhelming consideration today has to do with the part government plays increasingly and *illegally* in American life. It does us no good to have business if we don't have a country. Therefore, I have been glad to accept your very kind invitation and my talk will deal with the more important aspect of the situation. The fact that many of you at least are relatively young does not have any significance in a thing of this kind — as you are entitled to the best possible discussion regardless of your age. Furthermore in my opinion many young people today have greater alertness and receptivity to the issues of our time than some adults.



We are at war — the world is in a state of war now encompassing every portion of this earth — it is real — it is with us every day — the issue is a matter of life or death for untold millions (*perhaps for you*) — the final outcome is a question of survival for human freedom — human dignity — human progress. As young people (*or as adults*), wherever you may be, or whatever your circumstances, you have a primary obligation to be alert to the reality of this struggle, and to understand it, using every facility at your command. For the future of the human race depends on people like you and me — and there can be no acceptable alternative to total victory.

It would seem therefore that the deepest concern should prevail among all of our people as to this crisis of our time, and a fighting determination to show resistance, avoid any sign of weakness, strengthen the foundations of our national independence and security. There has, indeed, been evident a growing consciousness, evidence of surging unrest, increasing impatience and dissatisfaction with the confusion, irresolution, ineffectiveness, even disloyalty that marks the conduct of affairs — and signs of a will to resist, to break the paralysis that has poisoned America and the free world — paralysis which in recent years has been developed by the enemy as a major principle of warfare to conquer without a fight. But this is a dark hour — and I must be brutally frank. As of the moment there is no question but that we are losing — and I cannot give any assurance that we may not have gone already beyond the point of no return. It will take resolution on the part of all Americans, and super-resolution by their representatives in the Congress (**backed by threats of strict accountability to their constituents at the grassroots level**) to save the day. Khrushchev must derive great satisfaction as he now views a prospect of likely success from events with momentous consequences taking place at a rate unsurpassed in the history of mankind.

The war, as I see it, is divided into two parts (*that merge in certain important operational areas*). On the one hand is the program of Communist Global Conquest which is external as to the United States — on the other is an internal program that is reflected in the arrogant and increasingly clear Socialization of the United States — always concentrating more and more power in the executive branch of our government — always taking that power away from the people to whom it belongs. Legalities are brushed aside as the strangulation proceeds. There may be times when the forward march seems to hesitate, but it never retreats. So far as method is concerned, we see constantly a successful application of the principles of gradualism as a device of political revolution, already documented and detailed in a treatise titled "*And Not A Shot Is Fired*"

by Jan Kozak — prepared for a meeting of top Communist officials of Czechoslovakia not too long ago and available from The Long House Publishing Company, New Canaan, Conn. These principles, incidentally, have been openly endorsed by at least one of the top Presidential advisors in the Kennedy administration as applying to the program of total Socialism in this country, leading ultimately to the end of our free enterprise system and the confiscation of private property.

It is hard for Americans (*even well-informed Americans*) to grasp the full meaning of the Communist conspiracy. Perhaps it is in order, therefore, for me to quote a few lines from a recent Chicago address of the Secretary of the American Committee for France and Algeria. They provide valuable background for some of my comments herein. He says — **"I think the reason for this (the general inability of our people to grasp the subject of Communism) may be that it is virtually impossible for the average human being to understand or conceive of the total depravity of the Communist. As for the ex-Communist, he tends to still think there is some value in Communism as an ideology, but that it has been perverted by Communists. What they do not admit is that Communism is a system of total theft and the use of total force, and that as a political phenomenon it more closely resembles organized crime than any other form of activity."**

The situation is thus well expressed in a very few words. This being the case, the futility becomes readily apparent of such things as negotiations, compromises, peaceful co-existence, cultural exchanges, dis-armament, self-determination, anti-colonialism, liberation, neutralism, and others. No stability in world affairs can ever be achieved, short of the international Communist goal of total submission. One or more crisis can be, is, and will be always fabricated for the settlement of which a new concession of some sort will be required until nothing more remains.

Furthermore, mere communication with Communists, official or otherwise, is dangerous because of a highly specialized method of deception which has been devised where any use of language is employed for the communication of ideas. It takes highly competent and intense training simply to understand the Communist vocabulary. As a result, why shouldn't the American public be fooled — or how can we be blamed for lack of comprehension. Edward Hunter, one of the top specialists in this peculiar field of communication, psychological warfare, and brain-washing (*speaking in Boston recently*) gave a few startling examples of the use of words and interpretations as booby-traps — essential features of the Communist code-language:

- a) "Peace"—Refers especially to that monolithic world order that will be achieved by the final triumph of Socialism.
- b) "The word 'people' in Communist language refers strictly to a legal entity—it means Communists (nothing more) or someone useful to them. Anyone not coming within this definition is not a person—he is an 'un-person,' and exists only as an animal, to be treated as such.
- c) "'Co-existence' is illustrated by a striking comparison—a dog digesting a bone is co-existing with the bone—co-existence is used for the creation of 'a new historical situation'."

"Louis Budenz (in his bulletin of July 1st) makes the following remarks: 'What Moscow has said it would do (when talking to its followers) it has done. And when Moscow today promises in the May issue of the **WORLD MARXIST REVIEW** to use 'peaceful co-existence' (strikingly illustrated by the Laos pact) for the purpose of spreading violent socialist revolutions, we know that these will follow."

- d) "Reform" means to "make a person over"—not simply to correct one's way—but to create a completely new individual out of him.
- e) "Democracy" (a term used with the greatest of ease by so many political theorists strutting before us on the stage of history) refers to the regimented order of the Soviet state. This meaning is well understood by people in other lands, who accept it when they hear the word from the lips of free-world speakers and representatives.
- f) "Economic Competition" — to capture sources of raw materials.

The key to an understanding of international affairs lies in a basic understanding of Communist methods and objectives—and the conditions within our State Department governing its performances in the line of duty—reflected in policies and their implementation which have given decisive support to the program of global conquest now moving rapidly to its final victory.

On March 27, 1962 I delivered an address in Hartford, Connecticut which was devoted to this subject and later circulated widely over the United States. In great brevity under the title "**A Geo-Political View — Necessary To Understand the World Revolution,**" it showed the historical sequence leading to the present state of affairs holding the immediate prospect of fulfillment of Lenin's dream of one world socialist system. "**First we will take Central Europe, then the masses of Asia — we will surround the United States, which will be the**

last bastion of capitalism, we will not have to attack". Substantially speaking this prediction has been achieved. Current events — and others about to be consummated (*which will hereinafter be mentioned*) leave no doubt as to the probability of final success. I consider it to be one of the greatest tragedies of history that so large a number of Americans have failed to see this thing in its reality and its proper perspective.

Now the most important single visible official agency in furthering such an operation (*outside of the direct world Communist apparatus itself*) is the American State Department — backed by the power and prestige of our great Republic. The irony of the situation is almost overwhelming — that our nation, the product of only a few years under the sublime system of our Constitutional government (*associated with free enterprise, and human freedom*), should become the instrument of its own destruction, threatening to extinguish the torch of liberty throughout the earth — subjected to derision, revilements, and contempt by the enemy, in spite of the universal Communist record of failure, slavery, starvation, genocide, and general disregard of human rights.

To portray our State Department, I can do no better (*in a few words*) than to quote Bryton Barron (*as reported by Walter Trohan in HUMAN EVENTS, Section Three, on July 14, 1962*). Mr. Barron, a man who spent 26 years in the Department — six of them as head of its most closely guarded secrets — has written a book entitled "*The Untouchable State Department*." He names names and lists failures in an indictment covering inefficiency, incompetency, naivete, meddling, dangerous delay, bad judgement, evil influences, indecent collaborations, departmental politics, excessive preoccupations with alien points of view, and Communist treason.

"For a quarter of a century the American people have been treated like children: told only those things which would put officialdom in the best possible light," Barron wrote.

"Had our diplomats been always successful, it might have been argued that we should trust them implicitly. But the enemy has continued to extend its domination; the power and prestige which our soldier boys won on the battlefield have been lost, much — at the conference table; our resources have been squandered abroad; and now our very existence is threatened".

"Let me say", he writes, "that I doubt any Red agents straight from Moscow could possibly do more harm to America than has been done, and is being done, by these officials of the State Department — through the disastrous policies which they have devised, recommended, and promoted in recent years".

It is Barron's solemn assertion that the Department is engaged in

a deliberate campaign to with-hold vital information from the public and is pursuing a policy of surrender on the installment plan.

Isn't this treason, if there by any such thing as treason? Doesn't this call for an immediate full investigation of the State Department? And, if the answer is affirmative, what is holding it up?

In my Connecticut address previously mentioned, I reviewed the record of Communist conquest fulfilling Lenin's prediction—Central Europe, China, India, Africa, Latin America, and South-East Asia (*exhibiting the latter as an example of our State Department at work creating a new Red State*). The situation was fluid, but completely justified this conclusion. But, the story of Laos, of course, cannot be reviewed here. Suffice it to say that this little country, in spite of the wishes of its government or people, was forced to accede to a policy of neutralism. The result is startling, confirming earlier assertions of American treason. Note the following comments which are taken from the de Courcy INTELLIGENCE DIGEST out of London, July 1962.

"Our information is that the outcome of events in Laos is in precise conformity with what Russia desired. A coalition government with the Propaganda Ministry under a Communist was all that the Soviets desired. The Russian government thinks that the effect will be to turn Laos into a full Communist State without any further military dangers and without any sensation.

"The idea is that this process can be repeated in due course in Siam and South Vietnam. Moscow is of the opinion that the U. S. Government has no will to stop this, but that in order to avoid any sudden or effective change in American policy, the Communist political offensive in South-East Asia must be slow and covert.

"Russia believes that, provided nothing blatant is done in a downright military sense, President Kennedy nurses no profound sentiment of opposition to a slow American dis-engagement in South-East Asia. Mr. Khrushchev seems to feel quite sure of this."

For all practical purposes, South-East Asia is lost — promoting accomplishment of a long-cherished Communist goal — namely, to gain control of the masses of China, the resources of South-East Asia, and the industry of Japan. As to strategy, we are reminded of mainland China — *let it fall, but don't make it seem that we pushed it.*

The Australian continent is threatened — its people are anxious— inquiries have been made to our State Department concerning the American position if resistance were made to the New Guinea beach-head — the American position is not in favor of resistance.

Now the Australians are talking about a mutual trade pact with and recognition of Red China.

But we must turn our attention briefly to the West and to Africa which also seems lost — with threat of the ultimate downfall of Western Europe.

Africa must be absorbed by Communism — as essential to the program of Global Conquest. It is the raw-materials base for industrial Europe — and the enemy is quite aware of its primary strategic importance. Elaborate preparations have long been carried out in terms of highly successful political and propaganda warfare.

The most significant activity however is now associated with the Portuguese colonies of Angola and Mozambique — a prelude to absorption of these areas — and a further prelude to loss of the Iberian Peninsula (*containing Portugal and Spain itself*). The following are greatly condensed remarks from the “*Independent American*” Broadcast of June 29, 1962.

“One of the most dangerous developments in the cold war — is the accelerating Communist drive against Europe’s back door; Communist domination of Portugal and Spain (Europe’s military rear area) would render almost untenable Nato’s Central European defense system. The Communist threat to the Iberian Peninsula would make the North Atlantic itself the next, and perhaps last, battlefield. If we lose this Peninsula it will be due in no small part to our own action—and inaction.

“Main target of the Iberian revolutionary movement is Spain. To strike at Spain, the front is first striking at Portugal, the weaker partner. To attack Portugal, the front is hitting hardest at her most vulnerable point: the Portuguese colonies in Africa. The road to Madrid begins at Luanda, Angola, S. W. Africa. Today its security is vital to the security of us all. Soviet interest was initiated as far back as 1951. By March 1961 Guerilla Raiders swarmed over the Congolese-Angolan border. Fighting has been going on ever since.”

It is a startling fact that the Rebels have enlisted support from *key figures* of both Moscow and Washington, from Poland and Brazil. The guerrillas can also count on the support of a New York public relations firm; powerful committees of American liberals; four American churchmen serving as full-time propagandists, the Board of Missions of a leading denomination, and (*most important*) a smoothly operated fund-raising apparatus which channels many thousands of U. S. dollars a month into Angolan Revolutionary headquarters in the Congolese capitol of Leopoldville. This kind of activity is a criminal offense under our laws. What’s the matter with

Congress? Here, *with critical American support*, we find a campaign that is being designed to bring Spain and Portugal into the Communist orbit within possibly two years.

Now I must say a few words about France and, as introduction, begin by quoting three sentences from the Broadcast referred to above.

"With the fall of Algeria and Morocco to the Communists the Mediterranean will be a red sea. The Communists will soon have control across North Africa from the Straits of Gibraltar to the Suez Canal."

And, again, quoting the Charleston News Courier editorializing on this subject, as reported by the *"Independent American"* Broadcast of July 1962 — #62-221:

"In no time at all, Americans can expect to see Russian missile bases and Soviet submarine bases in Algeria. Russia will have outflanked France and Italy. Our Nato bases in Spain will be within range of the Russian missile bases in North Africa."

The absorption of Western Europe looms ever more clearly on the horizon. France comes next.

On May 26, 1962, Mr. Samuel Blumenfeld, Secretary of the American Committee for France and Algeria, addressed a Chicago meeting on recent and current developments in France. The frightening impact of his remarks is reflected in the following excerpts, which are valuable as showing what can happen by the process of illegal usurpation of government power.

1. **"Charles de Gaulle is one of the extraordinary liars in all history, a traitor to his country, a hater of human beings, a ruthless and cruel despot, a master of treachery and deceit, a destroyer of human values, a political criminal."**
2. **"He was brought to power as president of a Constitutional Republic in which his powers were strictly limited by the Constitution adopted in 1958."**
3. **"It also specifically defined the French Republic as indivisible."**
4. **"Any member of the government, including the President, attempting to alienate any part of the territory of the Republic is subject, under the Constitution, to punishment by imprisonment."**
5. **"Somewhere in 1959, de Gaulle decided that Algeria was no longer a part of the French Republic. The decision was a completely personal and arbitrary one — against the desires of millions of French citizens."**

6. **"By this personal decision he withdrew protection of life and property for which French Algerian citizens had paid taxes, fought and died in three French wars, and contributed materially and spiritually to their country's welfare."**
7. **"They were denied the legal means by which they could protect themselves."**
8. **"de Gaulle's foremost crime is his violation of the government contract, the Constitution, and his perversion of its legitimate functions."**
9. **"Having gained power by deception he must maintain it by force. In France we now have concentration camps, government terrorist organizations, the use of administrative arrest, confiscation of newspapers, phony trials, all the trappings of the police state."**
10. **"His most hideous crime is the total destruction of the best anti-Communist army in the world. All of its highest officers have been imprisoned; its psychological sections have been dismantled; its professional groups broken up and dispersed among conscript units in France and West Germany; its vacant positions filled with Communist officers; and its units sprinkled with cells of Communist Draftees. This army is consorting with France's former enemies, and training these enemies to destroy the French citizenry in Algeria. This crime alone against the army would be enough to warrant sending de Gaulle to the gallows."**
11. **"de Gaulle's betrayal of Europeans in Algeria is an act without precedent in French History."**
12. **"His stand on Berlin making him seem to be anti-Communist is based on expediency. Every bit of evidence and his latest press conferences indicate clearly that de Gaulle wants to lead Europe into an alliance with the Russians, against Britain and the United States, the Anglo Saxons."**
13. **"He thinks he can wean the Russians away from their alliance with the Chinese by appealing to their racial instincts. In this way he hopes to build his Europe of fatherlands from the Atlantic to the Urals."**
14. **"I predict he will impose his irrational policy, no matter how many people are killed or imprisoned — which means betrayal of all anti-Communists in Europe, a betrayal of the Anglo-Saxons, and an entente with Russia."**
15. **"It was Communist support which enabled de Gaulle to put over his policy in Algeria. It will be Communist support which will enable de Gaulle to put over his policy in Western Europe."**

16. "The day will come when that incredible fraud (of his alliance with Communism) will be exposed — by the betrayal of a unified Europe, the betrayal of democracy in the West, and the handing over to Communism of the last Western bastion."

17. "Will America ever Understand?"

(Copies of Mr. Blumenfeld's address may be obtained at a nominal cost from AMERICAN OPINION, Belmont 78, Mass.).

It could happen here, for in France today we have an example reinforcing the old political axiom — "*put no confidence in men*" or rather, as expressed by Thomas Jefferson, "*put no confidence in men, but bind them down by the chains of the Constitution.*" The key to the riddle is action, and discipline. This "*puts it on the line*" and gives us the formula for survival. Hold the government responsible for what it does — insist upon its accountability to the people under the Charter from which it derives all authority — and stop not short, *nor hesitate to demand and initiate impeachment proceedings!* For otherwise we must face political revolution — an overthrow of national foundations — a clearance for the imposition and cancerous evolution of a new order which must of necessity prove to be the diametrical opposite of that which (*in the space of six generations — by exercising the natural law of freedom*) released the energies of free men in a totally free capitalistic society to out-produce and out-create what all the races of men in all the countries of the world had been able to create and produce in the preceding 6000 years.

Now, I do not wish to continue the discussion of de Gaulle and the destruction of France, but should add just a few words. For (*with due respect for the lucidity and perception of Mr. Blumenfeld*), I must take issue because of certain limitations that (*to me*) seem apparent. As stated in my Connecticut address (found in Bulletin #89 — The Cinema Educational Guild, Inc., Box 46205, Cole Branch, Hollywood 46, Calif.) — "*A Geo-Political View Is Necessary To Understand The World Revolution,*" France and the activities of de Gaulle must be viewed in the context of events which can be documented to show that we are rapidly passing through the last stages of a conquest embracing the earth, to deliver it (*and the human race*) to a single World Government, representing the final triumph of Socialism — One World, one government, one law, one irresistible police force, one economy, one monetary system, one race, one culture, one society, one religion. Against this background, and the carefully plotted course of global take-over to accomplish the predicted encirclement of the United States, I have no hesitation in expressing the opinion that de Gaulle is a tool of these operating

forces, the time and nature of his treason is most favorable for the grand objective, and that Algeria, so basely surrendered, has been deliberately designed as the French Cuba, from which to hasten the vital and complete absorption of Africa. No wonder his action is without precedent in French history.

Looking at home conditions, and the mass of available evidence, it may provide some relief — and “*a breath of fresh air*” — if we turn our attention momentarily to the *legitimate* concept of government which applies to American society in relation to its government — or to the government in its relation to our society as contained in the Constitution and well expressed by Thomas Jefferson. Then we shall explore some parts of the universal confusion resulting from the subversive efforts of those who have labored so devotedly to destroy our national structure by deliberate lies, double talk, willful violation of laws, usurpation of power, and treason itself. Jefferson said:

“Our country is too large to have all its affairs directed by a single government — And I do verily believe, that if the principle were to prevail, of a common law being in force in the U. S. (which principle possesses the government at once of all the powers of the State Governments, and reduces us to a single consolidated government), it would become the most corrupt on earth — The true theory of our Constitution is surely the wiser and best, that the States are independent as to everything within themselves, and united as to everything respecting foreign nations. Let the general government be reduced to foreign concerns only, and let our affairs be disentangled from those of all other nations, except as to commerce, which the merchants will manage the better, the more they are left free to manage for themselves, and our general government may be reduced to a very simple organization, and a very inexpensive one; a few duties to be performed by a few servants.”

Jefferson was a political genius — he was not raving. The government and the society he referred to were not primitive, archaic, or suffering from restrictions of horizon through isolation from the centers of world power. The United States *exists* through application of his concepts, and our now existing survival crises are due to the perverse and planned abandonment of these concepts. There is nothing that can possibly be imagined in terms of fundamental human welfare and progress today under our present revolutionary socialist programs that cannot be done better, and more safely, under our Constitutional system. It is the utmost extremity of egotism, the zenith of imbecility to imagine that bureaucrats (*more properly bureaucrats and strangers*) can do better for the people than the people can do for themselves (*under the time-tested and well-regulated system which existed for the first 150 years of our national life*).

I repeat it is completely impossible for the American miracle of national progress to have occurred in any conditions but those nourished by our Constitutional law — nor can we or it survive in the absence of that law's enforcement. If Socialism (*or Communism*) be that form of government wherein the individual is sacrificed to the State, we shall have it from acceptance of present policies and objectives, and the national rewards that come from a free society of free men will wither away.

Now let us check just a little further to see what we're living with in this country.

1. The President — dedicated socialist — also a protagonist of a World (Communist-Socialist) Government. This is no idle statement, as evidence of which I quote from the July 1962 issue of *World*, published by World Wide Communications, Washington, D. C.

"There is only one way to see the ultimate shape of the office and the man — that is by the record of the concrete proposals of what the Presidents want to do with, for, or to the nation and its citizens. On these pages is the real, basic political anatomy of the 35th President of the United States, John Fitzgerald Kennedy."

I have looked over the score in detail — 285 Requests, 20 Approvals. It shines throughout with the light of pure Socialism — power taken from the people turned over to one man. But according to pro-Kennedy Richard E. Neustadt, liberal Columbia University professor of government, the President doesn't have enough power, because he can't (*by sheer weight of "effective power"*) overcome the resistance of the opposition — in Congress, in the Executive branch, from particular private groups and organizations, even from allies overseas. In other words — he should be so powerful as to be irresistible. (*U. S. News & World Report, July 16, 1962*).

2. His right hand men — represented by such as — *Dean Rusk* — His State Department well represented by headlines "*State Department Aides Lied, Report Says*." American aid materials, paid for by U. S. tax dollars, have been turned over to Soviet and Red Chinese foreign aid projects, according to the Investigating Subcommittee of the House Committee on Government Operations.

Walter Heller — Fanatical promoter of deficit spending and totalitarian economic control. He couldn't do a better job to bankrupt the U. S. if he were an agent of the Kremlin. This is the man whose advice was rejected by Economics Minister

Ludwig Erhard of West Germany to result in making that country one of the soundest and most powerful on earth.

(Balanced Budgets . . . Monetary restraint . . . Encouragement of private enterprise . . . Incentive for individual effort.)

Walt Rostow —

- a) Recommended cancellation of the B-70 program.
- b) Recommended policy of neutralism and withdrawal in South-East Asia.
- c) Recommended new Basis of U. S. Policy:

"We don't have to worry about Communism — it's passed the zenith of its power — the Communists may win battles due to our mistakes, but they will have only short term value".

Frankly — you'll deserve a prize if you can find any Russian agent that can give a better line than that. What went on between Rostow and Khrushchev during their last meeting?

Schlesinger — Arch-enemy of *free-enterprise capitalism* and free-enterprise capitalists (*that means you and me*) according to his 1947 published statements now placed in the Congressional Record.

3. From the NEW BEDFORD TIMES, July 1, 1962

"A former high-ranking officer of the Soviet Army, now in the United States, declared privately the other day. 'We never thought we would have equal military power with the West, so we determined to infiltrate the basic institutions and to get into policy positions in government'. 'Everything I helped to plan 25 years ago now has come to pass'. A former State Department official, having first-hand knowledge of the Department Security procedures, and intimately acquainted with the Federal Government for 27 years, stated, 'I have never seen such policy control by Reds —'."

And J. Edgar Hoover, last December, asserted:

"There are more Communist spies in the world today than ever before and infiltration by Communist agitators in the United States is increasing . . . No nation in history has been so subjected to thievery by an organized coterie of conspiratorial fanatics as has the United States. — The Communists seek information of all types (including) — diplomatic data."

Also, speaking through the July 17th, 1962 issue of "World" Mr. Hoover says:

"At this moment the Russians are doing everything they can do to make friendly contacts with American businessmen — because they want to obtain — by begging, borrowing, or stealing — the industrial secrets of American business".

(But recently, in the face of these things, the President removed travel restrictions for Russian agents — technicians, cultural exchange personnel, and others).

4. We all have heard something about the European Common Market, but few realize its implications as a Union of Atlantic Nations, so-called. Actually it contemplates a *political organization* which makes out of it a baby or regional world government. *In the military field*, the technique is to entangle American defense with world-wide defense, until the United States literally cannot defend herself without the help and cooperation of allies. *In the economic field*, the technique is to make America dependent on foreign sources of supply for critical materials, and so subject to foreign politico-economic decisions that we will be forced to surrender control over our trade and commerce to an international authority which can regulate the trade of the world.

These objectives are clearly dear to the heart of Mr. Kennedy. So we can understand the tremendous importance attached to the recently passed tariff-cutting trade bill, and the pressure used to achieve it. But too many fail to understand this long stride toward American amalgamation with the Common Market — nor do they anticipate the time when, admittedly in the years to come, all the new powers just granted have been exhausted. There will be few, if any, tariffs to negotiate. Mr. A. G. Heinsohn, Jr., of Knoxville, Tennessee, points out the following:—

"If American production must compete under a system of free trade, so-called, we must first of all be free. This is impossible for the following reasons (among several others):

- "1) We pay wages up to 19 times higher than others and work short hours as determined by politicians and labor bosses instead of by the law of supply and demand;**
- "2) We suffer the high cost consequences of government interferences on the farms;**
- "3) We bear a destructive tax burden in support of socialistic ventures;**

"4) We give away tax money abroad to create competition in the low wage areas of the world."

For us the Common Market is a trap — but is "*made to order*" as a step to sacrifice our sovereignty and lead us into a World Government. A pertinent question has to do with our position (*in such an eventuality*) if Western Europe comes under the domination (*and control*) of the Soviet Union according to the now-existing shadow of things to come.

5. Lest anyone doubt that we are faced immediately with the prospect of sacrificing our national identity by amalgamation in a Communist-Socialist World Government — I call your attention to the following (*to be viewed against the background of this address*):

a) Nelson Rockefeller, in a series of Godkin lectures delivered at Harvard University during the 1961-1962 academic year — came out openly in support of a world government (*for International Federal Union*) — as a political structure for the Free World. He said — **"I venture to prophesy that there will evolve the basis for a Federal structure of the Free World sooner than we expect."**

b) At the so-called Disarmament Conference in Geneva there was presented a plan which is summarized in Department of State Publication 7277 — Disarmament Series No. 5. This is a proposed secret treaty (*approved by the President*) which would completely disarm the United States. Arms would be transferred to the United Nations, and we would come under the authority of a U. N. Military Dictatorship. Such a Dictatorship would be Soviet controlled. This treaty constitutes flagrant treason against the people of the United States.

I've gone far enough. Don't you see the pattern? Don't you have a sense of movement constricting tighter and tighter as we approach the final climax?

But it isn't enough for Mr. Kennedy. On July 4th, 1962 he chose historic Independence Hall to call for an international declaration of *Interdependence* — a partnership with a United Europe. He said, "*Let the world know that this is now our goal*" — and he expects us to reach it.

For brevity will refer to the column of George Todt — as appeared in the "Los Angeles Herald-Examiner", July 12th, 1962:

a) **"The Fourth of July was hardly the time to talk of turning over our national sovereignty to a super-government."**

- b) I asked Representative Edgar Hiestand (R. Cal.) what he thought about this distressing matter — he said we ought to hold on to our shirts and take a long second look.
- c) What material advantage could we expect to gain —
- d) Would it reduce or increase our astronomical taxes —
- e) Would we fare equally well, better, or be worse off in any such amalgamation of national sovereignty —
- f) These are only a few (of many questions) we need to debate —
- g) For example, it is nearly a foregone conclusion we would be taxed much more than ever before —
- h) Nato peoples have no intention of being the “junior partner” in such an arrangement.
- i) The voting arrangements would work against us, if we should ever become sub-servient to ‘Atlantic Union’ partners.
- j) We will have desperate need to hang on to our shirts — if we can afford them at all.”

Interdependence does not mean a loose or revocable association—it is “*for keeps*” — it means loss of sovereignty, a provincial status, probably a change in name, and (*almost certainly*) ultimate domination by Communists in a Communist-Socialist World Government System.

The challenge is clear — what does this mean to you — and what are you going to do about it?

Note: “*The Untouchable State Department*” by Bryton Barron published by — Crestwood Books, Box 301, Springfield, Virginia

The following supplements are hereby made part of this address.

- a) The Liberty Amendment.
- b) “The Invisible Government” published by —
The Dan Smoot Report, Inc., P. O. Box 9538, Dallas 14, Tex.

SUPPLEMENT "A" — THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT

The Proposed 24th Amendment (*known as The Liberty Amendment*) will eliminate the personal income tax. Without money (*obtained from the taxpayers*), the government cannot carry out its nefarious purposes, promote its socialist objectives, or accomplish the tragedy of global rule. It is aware of this, as was emphasized recently when President Kennedy, attacking "*super-patriots*" and "*right-wing extremists*," used sarcasm as a device for discreditation. He said "*they even want to eliminate the personal income tax.*" But this remark does not constitute evidence, argument or rebuttal. The fact is, he doesn't have a good reason why the personal income tax should not be abolished. I will summarize the subject briefly by quoting former Utah Governor J. Bracken Lee, and an excerpt from a letter of the National Committee For Economic Freedom at 6413 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles 28, Calif.

"People of this nation went a long way toward destroying the Constitution when we permitted the government to talk people into destroying our greatest safeguard against too much power in government — this was the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment, or the personal income tax. It put power in the hands of the government to place an unlimited tax upon the people. By the very nature of our Constitution and Bill of Rights this power should never have been granted, because it became the one tool that carried with it the means whereby our guarantees of freedom and equal justice under the law were to be completely nullified. I cannot conceive how any intelligent person can defend the Constitution of the United States and at the same time defend the income tax which nullifies and eventually destroys all semblance of these Constitutional guarantees. Until those citizens who believe in the free enterprise system awaken to the dangers of this evil and demand its repeal, I see no hope to preserve the rights that we are entitled to as free men."

**J. Bracken Lee
THE AMERICAN STATESMAN
Salt Lake City, Utah
November 15, 1961.**

"The Amendment will not alter the original Constitution in any way, but will restore its full force and effect. At this time, half of the total revenue of the federal government is used to pay for the losses and hidden costs of more than 700 federal agencies which operate in direct competition with private enterprise. Returning these lands and facilities

to the American people, from whom they were taken, will thus eliminate the need for the income tax, which now produces half of the total revenue of the government (the balance being derived from corporate taxes, excise taxes, imposts, duties, and other miscellaneous taxes). Therefore, the 'Liberty Amendment' will increase our take-home pay about 20% by simply restoring to the American people their total pay. It will eliminate the vast losses of the federal corporate activities and return government to its function of governing and regulating."

Contact The National Committee For Economic Freedom
6413 Franklin Avenue
Los Angeles 28, California

These are the terms of the proposed LIBERTY AMENDMENT pending in Congress as H. J. Res. 23 and supported by identical resolutions in the States of Wyoming, Texas, Nevada, Louisiana and Georgia: (*and now receiving consideration in other States*).

"Sec. 1. The Government of the United States shall not engage in any business, professional, commercial, financial or industrial enterprise except as specified in the Constitution.

"Sec. 2. The Constitution or laws of any State, or the laws of the United States shall not be subject to the terms of any foreign or domestic agreement which would abrogate this amendment.

"Sec. 3. The activities of the United States Government which violate the intent and purposes of the amendment shall, within a period of three years form the date of ratification of this amendment, be liquidated and the properties and facilities affected shall be sold.

"Sec. 4. Three years after the ratification of this amendment the sixteenth article of amendments to the Constitution of the United States shall stand repealed and thereafter Congress shall not levy taxes on personal incomes, estates and/or gifts".

(Note:—It would be impossible to name all the "Merchants" of the "Bankrupt Philosophy" in all of the Administrations since Wilson — indeed, a complete listing would require a tome of hundreds, if not thousands, of pages. But, in the following, Mr. Fowler provides two specific exhibits. MCF

EXHIBIT A

DEAN RUSK—Notorious for his unsavory record involving

A) The sell-out of Nationalist China to the Reds. . . . B) The no-win policy in Korea and the guarantee of sanctuaries to the Reds. . . . C) The refusal to accept help from Chinese Nationalist forces in the Korean War. . . . D) The policy which prohibited a blockade of the China coast during the Korean War. . . . E) The letter of dismissal of General MacArthur.

His State Department is equally notorious today for its policies which favor the use of American prestige, American power, American diplomacy, American aid, even American forces to promote Communist Socialist revolutions and further the program of Communist global conquest.

Most Americans will find it hard to believe the following illustrative circumstances reported by the Investigating Sub-Committee of the House Committee on Government Operations and published by World Wide Communications, Inc. of Washington, D. C. on July 10, 1962. Here we find State Department aides involved in lying, evasion, and deception; when confronted by the Sub-Committee.

1. U. S. foreign aid has been commingled with Sino-Soviet aid both with and without the knowledge of U. S. aid officials.
2. Use of U. S. foreign aid materials in a Russian hospital and U. S. equipment in a Chinese Communist radio station has been confirmed.
3. State Department and AID (Agency for International Development) officials knew of the mixing of U. S. and Communist foreign aid funds, but were guilty of lying, evasion, and deception on the subject before the Sub-Committee.
4. Avery F. Peterson, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State, and Marlin F. Haas, Foreign Aid Comptroller in Cambodia, are specifically named in the Committee report.
5. Rep. Porter Hardy, Jr., Chairman of the Sub-Committee, stated **"I'm sure it never occurred to anyone in the Congress that it would be necessary to include in the foreign aid bill a specific provision prohibiting the use of American taxpayers' dollars in a manner which could**

promote the cause of Communism. Apparently we took too much for granted".

My questions are —

A) What is the matter with Rep. Porter Hardy, Jr.? . . . B) What is the matter with Congress? . . . C) Why didn't all these things occur to anyone in the Congress? . . . D) How long have we got to put up with such people and such things?

All things of this kind made sense in one way, and one way only — i.e., furtherance of the program for building a world dictatorship.

EXHIBIT B

WALTER HELLER — Fanatical promoter of deficit spending and totalitarian economic control. Consider him from the geo-political point of view. He couldn't do a better job to bankrupt the U. S. if he were an agent of the Kremlin.

Here is the man, now heading the President's Economic Council, who, as a member of our economics advisory team, made recommendations to postwar Germany — *which was saved* because all these recommendations were flatly rejected. *Of course* he would have wrecked Germany — *and his proposals will wreck any other country*. This is what he wanted to do, (which apparently is what he would like to do to us).

- 1) Destroy the private capitalistic (free enterprise) system.
- 2) Adopt inflation as a national policy.
- 3) Disregard price stability, operating on a foundation of broadly based mass-production power.
- 4) Discourage any large volume of personal savings by adoption of a cheap money policy.
- 5) Reduce depreciation on capital investment and lower incentives to increase investment in new plant and equipment.
- 6) Place the government in control over the economy.

Dr. Ludwig Erhard, Vice Chancellor and Minister for Economic Affairs of the German Federal Republic, summarily rejected this advice for his country. "Instead he adopted a policy of balanced

budgets, monetary restraint, encouragement of private enterprise, and incentive for individual effort”.

Result: **“The progress of the German economy has been unequalled” — “a record of national growth rate of 7 to 8 percent per annum over the past 10 years”.**

●

ADDENDUM By MYRON C. FAGAN

●

As this issue is going to press the nation — indeed, the world — is being rocked by the latest developments of the Cuba problem. . . . *and the end is not yet in sight!*

The limited space in this issue will not permit a complete review of the startling happenings of the past two weeks — we will defer that for our next issue. However, following are the extreme highlights: It was commonly known as far back as last January (1962) that Moscow was setting up Nuclear Missile launching platforms in Cuba — above ground and underground. . . . it was known that Moscow was shipping Missiles into Cuba. When asked what he was going to do about it, the little man with the big temper in the White House pish-tushed it all off with the statement that *“they are defensive weapons”*. . . . and he kept reiterating that absurd and reprehensible falsehood.

Then, while politicking in Chicago, he *“suddenly discovered”* the *“truth”* — that the Russians had almost completed at least a dozen Missile Launching Pads, each one equipped with Missiles trained on New York, Chicago, Detroit and other vulnerable cities in the United States. Now, just how did he make that *“discovery?”* Answer: one of his boys in Washington warned him that the *true story* had been *“leaked”* to a publication which the Kennedys have as yet been unable to muzzle or suppress — and that that publication was about to print the complete story.

Thereupon the little man with the big mouth issued a report that he had been savagely attacked by a (cold) germ — and dashed back to Washington. A day later (Oct. 22), *with no sign of any “cold” apparent*, he appeared on TV to *“report”* to the American people how he had discovered the *“clandestine treacheries being perpetrated in ‘Cuber.’”* In that *“oration”* Kennedy warned Khrushchev that unless he (Khrushchev) would peaceably dismantle the Pads and remove all Nuclear weapons from *“Cuber,”* he (Kennedy) would employ all measures necessary to accomplish it.

Khrushchev called Kennedy a liar — Kennedy called Khrushchev a liar. . . . at the *“United Nations,”* addled Adlai called Zorin a liar — Zorin raucously laughed, *“You’re another.”*

And all the while Moscow was rushing more and more shiploads of military equipment into Cuba — and the Russians there were feverishly rushing completion of the Launching Pads. . . . and all the while the American people were growing more frightened, more panicky, more angry — and furiously demanding ACTION!

And finally the frightened Kennedy ordered the Blockade — *at least a year overdue* — there was nothing else he could do. . . . and Khrushchev, after snarling threats, ordered his ships to turn back. He (Khrushchev) then offered a “deal:” he would remove his Nuclear installations from Cuba if Kennedy would remove our installations from Turkey. But by this time the anger of the American people was at white heat and Kennedy didn’t dare to make “deals.” Khrushchev capitulated — but demanded a guarantee of “no invasion of Cuba.” Kennedy agreed — thereby establishing that he has no objection to a Communist Satellite in our hemisphere, only 90 miles from our shores. . . . *if he can convince the American people that all danger from Missiles has been removed.*

But the strange course he took to “convince” us has already boomeranged. Instead of insisting that the removal be supervised by our own military experts, Kennedy agreed to entrust that supervision to, *of all people*, U Thant, a notorious Marxist! Thant promptly demanded that Kennedy suspend the Blockade and our aerial reconnaissance flights over Cuba. *Amazingly*, Kennedy obeyed. Thant flew to Cuba. Two days later he flew back. The trip was a flop — Castro had demanded various concessions, one of them being our surrender of Guantanamo — otherwise he would permit no UN observation teams. However, in a statement to the press, Thant assured that he had been “reliably” informed that the Nuclear Bases were being dismantled. . . . Oh, yeah? Granting that the above-ground Pads are being dismantled (*which can be verified by our planes*), how will we ever know if the *Underground Pads* are dismantled? . . . how will we know how many Missiles will remain hidden in Cuba? Take Thant’s word for it?

In our last “Year-End Report” (*January 1962*), after I reviewed the Cuban Invasion (*Bay of Pigs*) fiasco, I made the following statement — now particularly significant: **“Apologists for Kennedy stressed that the entire Castro treason plot was launched during the Eisenhower administration. Which only means that both Eisenhower and Kennedy are equally guilty — that both were carrying out the directives of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy!**

Which one of them will be responsible to the American people when one shocking day we will discover that Castro has nuclear missiles in Cuban underground sites ready for instant firing on our Country? . . . But perhaps by then it will be too late to put either one on trial for TREASON! ! !”

Members of Both Houses of Congress: what are you waiting for?

Reprinted by:
CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. BOX 596
BORING, OR 97009